Phases in Physics

Generalised Phases

Main Results

Considerations on Fluid Flow

Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)

Large Deviations and Statistical Inference in Phased Materials

Dalton A R Sakthivadivel

23rd Oct 2022



Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
●00000000	00	00000	00	0000
A diagram				





Phases in Physics o●ooooooo	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
A diagram				



Phases in Physics 00●000000	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
Phases				

The motivation for this work lies in something from physics called a 'phase'

What are phases?

Phases in Physics 00●000000	Generalised Phases 00	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
Phases				

The motivation for this work lies in something from physics called a 'phase'

What are phases?

In physics, a *phase* is a region of state space with distinct qualitative behaviour

Usually determined via symmetry: the *disordered phase* has a global symmetry under a representation of some Lie group (transformations are 'idempotent' in the face of disorder), whilst the *ordered phase* breaks this symmetry (transformations destroy order)

In physics, a *phase* is a region of state space with distinct qualitative behaviour

Usually determined via symmetry: the *disordered phase* has a global symmetry under a representation of some Lie group (transformations are 'idempotent' in the face of disorder), whilst the *ordered phase* breaks this symmetry (transformations destroy order)

In physics, a *phase* is a region of state space with distinct qualitative behaviour

Usually determined via symmetry: the *disordered phase* has a global symmetry under a representation of some Lie group (transformations are 'idempotent' in the face of disorder), whilst the *ordered phase* breaks this symmetry (transformations destroy order)

Consider a family of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in [1:N^2]}$ valued in $\{-1, 1\}$, and a joint random variable $X = |X_1X_2X_3...X_{N^2}\rangle$

Suppose X satisfies a stochastic differential equation with a parameter T

Moreover, suppose T controls the variance of the joint probability measure. In particular, for $0 \le T < 1$, P(x) concentrates around the ground state $|(-1)(-1)(-1)\dots(-1)\rangle$

Consider a family of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in [1:N^2]}$ valued in $\{-1, 1\}$, and a joint random variable $X = |X_1X_2X_3...X_{N^2}\rangle$

Suppose X satisfies a stochastic differential equation with a parameter $\ensuremath{\mathcal{T}}$

Moreover, suppose T controls the variance of the joint probability measure. In particular, for $0 \le T < 1$, P(x) concentrates around the ground state $|(-1)(-1)(-1)...(-1)\rangle$

Consider a family of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in [1:N^2]}$ valued in $\{-1, 1\}$, and a joint random variable $X = |X_1X_2X_3...X_{N^2}\rangle$

Suppose X satisfies a stochastic differential equation with a parameter T

Moreover, suppose T controls the variance of the joint probability measure. In particular, for $0 \le T < 1$, P(x) concentrates around the ground state $|(-1)(-1)(-1)\dots(-1)\rangle$

Consider a family of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in [1:N^2]}$ valued in $\{-1, 1\}$, and a joint random variable $X = |X_1X_2X_3...X_{N^2}\rangle$

Suppose X satisfies a stochastic differential equation with a parameter T

Moreover, suppose T controls the variance of the joint probability measure. In particular, for $0 \le T < 1$, P(x) concentrates around the ground state $|(-1)(-1)(-1)\dots(-1)\rangle$

Consider a family of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i \in [1:N^2]}$ valued in $\{-1, 1\}$, and a joint random variable $X = |X_1X_2X_3...X_{N^2}\rangle$

Suppose X satisfies a stochastic differential equation with a parameter T

Moreover, suppose T controls the variance of the joint probability measure. In particular, for $0 \le T < 1$, P(x) concentrates around the ground state $|(-1)(-1)(-1)\dots(-1)\rangle$

Arrange X on a two-dimensional lattice with N vertices in each direction

The probability measure for X is given by

$$P(X=x) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{T}E(x)}$$

where $E(x) = -\sum_{ij} x_i x_j$

Notice that the quantity E(x) is invariant under a \mathbb{Z}_2 action

However, the state x itself is not. Example: $|(-1)(-1)(-1)...(-1)\rangle \mapsto |111...1\rangle$ (ground state degeneracy)

Arrange X on a two-dimensional lattice with N vertices in each direction

The probability measure for X is given by

$$P(X=x) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{T}E(x)}$$

where $E(x) = -\sum_{ij} x_i x_j$

Notice that the quantity E(x) is invariant under a \mathbb{Z}_2 action

However, the state x itself is not. Example: $|(-1)(-1)(-1)...(-1)\rangle \mapsto |111...1\rangle$ (ground state degeneracy)

Arrange X on a two-dimensional lattice with N vertices in each direction

The probability measure for X is given by

$$P(X=x) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{T}E(x)}$$

where $E(x) = -\sum_{ij} x_i x_j$

Notice that the quantity E(x) is invariant under a \mathbb{Z}_2 action

However, the state x itself is not. Example: $|(-1)(-1)(-1)\dots(-1)\rangle \mapsto |111\dots1\rangle$ (ground state degeneracy)

Arrange X on a two-dimensional lattice with N vertices in each direction

The probability measure for X is given by

$$P(X=x) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{T}E(x)}$$

where $E(x) = -\sum_{ij} x_i x_j$

Notice that the quantity E(x) is invariant under a \mathbb{Z}_2 action

However, the state x itself is not. Example: $|(-1)(-1)(-1)\dots(-1)\rangle \mapsto |111\dots1\rangle$ (ground state degeneracy)

Arrange X on a two-dimensional lattice with N vertices in each direction

The probability measure for X is given by

$$P(X=x) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{T}E(x)}$$

where $E(x) = -\sum_{ij} x_i x_j$

Notice that the quantity E(x) is invariant under a \mathbb{Z}_2 action

However, the state x itself is not. Example: $|(-1)(-1)(-1)...(-1)\rangle \mapsto |111...1\rangle$ (ground state degeneracy)

Let m be the ensemble average of X, *i.e.*, the average of X with respect to i (order parameter, slow mode).

Under a global rotation of the ground state, m goes from -1 to 1

So the physics changes, even though the energy level doesn't

Ground state degeneracy = 'spontaneously broken symmetry'

There exists an ordered phase (m=-1 or m=1) and a disordered phase $(m\in(-1,1))$

Let m be the ensemble average of X, *i.e.*, the average of X with respect to i (order parameter, slow mode).

Under a global rotation of the ground state, m goes from -1 to 1

So the physics changes, even though the energy level doesn't

Ground state degeneracy = 'spontaneously broken symmetry'

There exists an ordered phase $(m=-1 ext{ or } m=1)$ and a disordered phase $(m\in (-1,1))$

Let m be the ensemble average of X, *i.e.*, the average of X with respect to i (order parameter, slow mode).

Under a global rotation of the ground state, m goes from -1 to 1

So the physics changes, even though the energy level doesn't

Ground state degeneracy = 'spontaneously broken symmetry'

There exists an ordered phase $(m=-1 ext{ or } m=1)$ and a disordered phase $(m\in (-1,1))$

Let m be the ensemble average of X, *i.e.*, the average of X with respect to i (order parameter, slow mode).

Under a global rotation of the ground state, m goes from -1 to 1

So the physics changes, even though the energy level doesn't

Ground state degeneracy = 'spontaneously broken symmetry'

There exists an ordered phase $(m=-1 ext{ or } m=1)$ and a disordered phase $(m\in (-1,1))$

Let m be the ensemble average of X, *i.e.*, the average of X with respect to i (order parameter, slow mode).

Under a global rotation of the ground state, m goes from -1 to 1

So the physics changes, even though the energy level doesn't

Ground state degeneracy = 'spontaneously broken symmetry'

There exists an ordered phase (m = -1 or m = 1) and a disordered phase $(m \in (-1, 1))$

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
0000000●0	00	00000		0000
Generalised	phases			

Examples: set-points in control systems, turbulence in fluid flows, patterns in reaction-diffusion systems, hurricane formation in the atmosphere... and so forth

However, these are still systems with distinct behaviours dependent on some parameter

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
0000000●0	00	00000		0000
Generalised	phases			

Examples: set-points in control systems, turbulence in fluid flows, patterns in reaction-diffusion systems, hurricane formation in the atmosphere... and so forth

However, these are still systems with distinct behaviours dependent on some parameter

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
0000000●0	00	00000		0000
Generalised	phases			

Examples: set-points in control systems, turbulence in fluid flows, patterns in reaction-diffusion systems, hurricane formation in the atmosphere... and so forth

However, these are still systems with distinct behaviours dependent on some parameter

Phases in Physics 0000000●0	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) 0000
Generalised	phases			

Examples: set-points in control systems, turbulence in fluid flows, patterns in reaction-diffusion systems, hurricane formation in the atmosphere... and so forth

However, these are still systems with distinct behaviours dependent on some parameter

Phases in Physics 00000000●	Generalised Phases 00	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
Generalised	phases			

Notice that

(i) the low-T regime of the Ising model is a point attractor

(ii) when T is large, the probability of the system being in the ground state is low

 \therefore The quantity T controls the behaviour of the system near an attractor

This suggests the Ising model is well-approximated by fluctuations in a lower dimensional, parametric system

How do we carve up the state space into distinct regions whose *occupation probability* depends on some parameter? Under what conditions do those regions correspond to *patterns*?

We want the following:

(i) When $0 \le T < 1$, m = -1 or m = 1, satisfying $\arg\min E(x)$

(ii) When T > 1, *m* fluctuates with probability P(m), where P(m = -1) is small

How do we carve up the state space into distinct regions whose *occupation probability* depends on some parameter? Under what conditions do those regions correspond to *patterns*?

We want the following:

(i) When $0 \le T < 1$, m = -1 or m = 1, satisfying $\arg \min E(x)$

(ii) When T > 1, *m* fluctuates with probability P(m), where P(m = -1) is small

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases ○●	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
SPDEs				

Ansatz: X can be described by the SPDE

$$\partial_T x(T) = -\partial_x E(x) + \sqrt{T}\xi$$

Fix a ground state. Taking fluctuations $\sqrt{T}\xi$ as (x - m), we have

(i) a system which fluctuates away from an attractor with magnitude proportional to \mathcal{T}

(ii) a stochastic Allen-Cahn equation (a 'model A system')

(iii) Glauber-like dynamics for the Ising model (Hohenberg and Halperin 1977, Rev Mod Phys)

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases ○●	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
SPDEs				

Ansatz: X can be described by the SPDE

$$\partial_T x(T) = -\partial_x E(x) + \sqrt{T}\xi$$

Fix a ground state. Taking fluctuations $\sqrt{T}\xi$ as (x - m), we have

(i) a system which fluctuates away from an attractor with magnitude proportional to ${\mathcal T}$

(ii) a stochastic Allen-Cahn equation (a 'model A system')

(iii) Glauber-like dynamics for the Ising model (Hohenberg and Halperin 1977, Rev Mod Phys)

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases ○●	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
SPDEs				

Ansatz: X can be described by the SPDE

$$\partial_T x(T) = -\partial_x E(x) + \sqrt{T}\xi$$

Fix a ground state. Taking fluctuations $\sqrt{T}\xi$ as (x - m), we have

(i) a system which fluctuates away from an attractor with magnitude proportional to $\ensuremath{\mathcal{T}}$

(ii) a stochastic Allen-Cahn equation (a 'model A system')

(iii) Glauber-like dynamics for the Ising model (Hohenberg and Halperin 1977, Rev Mod Phys)

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases 00	Main Results ●0000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
Main results				

Our main result is that dynamics near a *normally hyperbolic slow manifold* lie in the model *A* universality class, describing phased materials with well-defined effective descriptions

In this way it is possible to define a notion of a phase that has nothing to do with a system's symmetries

This canonical form is a simple stochastic (partial) differential equation derived partially from a large deviations principle for fluctuations near a slow manifold

Why is this interesting? Extends the theory of 'patterns' to very general dynamics (conjecture total speculation to follow)

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results 0●000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
Main results				

Suppose a slow manifold (x, h(x)) exists, such that $\partial_t u = f(x, h(x))$ for small u.

Let f(x, h(x)) satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation for some quantity F

Suppose also that fluctuations in u are fast (*i.e.*, u - (x, h) has timescale $\varepsilon^{-1}t$)

Incorporate a correction term to $\partial_t u$ which keeps track of fluctuations off of (x, h(x))

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00●00	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) 0000
Main results				

The following expansion of the flow near the slow manifold holds for arbitrary large u and $\nu > 0$:

$$\partial_t u = f(x, h(x)) + (u - (x, h(x))))$$

Adiabatic theorem \implies fast variables behave like noise

We obtain $\partial_t u(t) = f(x(t), h(x(t)) + \nu \xi$

When ν is large, two things happen: (i) high-noise phase (ii) instability about slow manifold

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00●00	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
Main results				

The following expansion of the flow near the slow manifold holds for arbitrary large u and $\nu > 0$:

$$\partial_t u = f(x, h(x)) + (u - (x, h(x))))$$

Adiabatic theorem \implies fast variables behave like noise

We obtain
$$\partial_t u(t) = f(x(t), h(x(t)) + \nu \xi$$

When ν is large, two things happen: (i) high-noise phase (ii) instability about slow manifold

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
000000000	00	000●0	00	0000
Main results				

The flow obeys a large deviations principle with rate function F where $f = \delta F / \delta u$

Instanton solution is slow manifold

In L^2 , stationary measure (if it exists) is $p(u) \propto \exp\{-c_{
u}F(u)\}$

Example:

$$p(u) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{T}E(u)\right\}$$

in Ising model

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
000000000		000●0	00	0000
Main results				

The flow obeys a large deviations principle with rate function F where $f = \delta F / \delta u$

Instanton solution is slow manifold

In L^2 , stationary measure (if it exists) is $p(u) \propto \exp\{-c_{
u}F(u)\}$

Example:

$$p(u) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{T}E(u)\right\}$$

in Ising model

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
000000000		000●0	00	0000
Main results				

The flow obeys a large deviations principle with rate function F where $f = \delta F / \delta u$

Instanton solution is slow manifold

```
In L^2, stationary measure (if it exists) is p(u) \propto \exp\{-c_{
u}F(u)\}
```

Example:

$$p(u) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{T}E(u)\right\}$$

in Ising model

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
000000000		000●0	00	0000
Main results				

The flow obeys a large deviations principle with rate function F where $f = \delta F / \delta u$

Instanton solution is slow manifold

In L^2 , stationary measure (if it exists) is $p(u) \propto \exp\{-c_{\nu}F(u)\}.$

Example:

$$p(u) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{T}E(u)\right\}$$

in Ising model

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM)
000000000		000●0	00	0000
Main results				

The flow obeys a large deviations principle with rate function F where $f = \delta F / \delta u$

Instanton solution is slow manifold

In L^2 , stationary measure (if it exists) is $p(u) \propto \exp\{-c_{\nu}F(u)\}.$

Example:

$$p(u) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{T}E(u)\right\}$$

in Ising model

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases 00	Main Results 0000●	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) 0000
Main results				

Since h(x) minimises F, a more general expression is obtainable:

$$\partial_t u(t) = c_
u
abla F(u(t)) +
u \xi$$

= $-\nabla \log p(u(t)) +
u \xi$

 \implies if we don't know F, we can just infer p(u(t))

Patterns in fluid flow are sometimes described using space-dependent attractor-repellor configurations called Lagrangian coherent structures (see *e.g.* Lekien, Shadden, Marsden, 2007, J Math Phys; Haller 2015, Ann Rev Fluid Mech)

Is there a straightforward generalisation of this result that provides a description of dynamics with low-dimensional space-dependent patterns? Suppose we have an A-model for h(x) coupled to the fluctuations near a disjoint slow manifold k(x)

This describes an LCS where the flow off of one slow manifold enters the neighbourhood of another

This introduces interaction terms, which are usually challenging

For simple equations, one may be more optimistic... however, in the general case, not much hope *a priori* of doing this rigorously

Dimension reduction; Bayesian inference of order parameters

Because slow manifolds are difficult to describe analytically, a question naturally arises: is there an alternative road to producing slow manifolds?

We are now asking about the inference of low-dimensional descriptions of a system (*i.e.*, of an order parameter)

So is there an algorithm that carves a dynamical system into slow and fast subsystems?

Dimension reduction; Bayesian inference of order parameters

Because slow manifolds are difficult to describe analytically, a question naturally arises: is there an alternative road to producing slow manifolds?

We are now asking about the inference of low-dimensional descriptions of a system (*i.e.*, of an order parameter)

So is there an algorithm that carves a dynamical system into slow and fast subsystems?

Dimension reduction; Bayesian inference of order parameters

One approach to this lies in the study of structure vs function in neural networks

Dynamic causal modelling infers the coupling constants between different subsystems of a random dynamical system for purposes of causal inference (Friston, Li, Daunizeau, Stephan, 2011, NeuroImage)

Weak or sparse coupling approximation leads to the spontaneous identification of order parameters in a network of oscillators

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) ○○●○
DCM / LCS	;			

Known to carve up signals from networks of neural cells into slow dynamics and fluctuations / stable and unstable phases. Example: epilepsy (Jafarian et al, 2021, NeuroImage)

Likely a useful tool in understanding systems like LCSs numerically

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases 00	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) 00●0
DCM / LCS	5			

Known to carve up signals from networks of neural cells into slow dynamics and fluctuations / stable and unstable phases. Example: epilepsy (Jafarian et al, 2021, NeuroImage)

Likely a useful tool in understanding systems like LCSs numerically

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) 00●0		
DCM / LCS						

Known to carve up signals from networks of neural cells into slow dynamics and fluctuations / stable and unstable phases. Example: epilepsy (Jafarian et al, 2021, NeuroImage)

Likely a useful tool in understanding systems like LCSs numerically

Phases in Physics	Generalised Phases 00	Main Results 00000	Considerations on Fluid Flow	Dynamic Causal Modelling (DCM) 000●
Concluding	remarks			

- We can understand phases as low-dimensional descriptions of a system (patterns) in distinct areas of phase space
- We can understand a system spreading out in its state space (instability) as a disordered, high-noise phase
- Generalisations to more complex systems may exist
- Tools already designed for problems in this universality class exist, and will likely be useful in numerical analysis of such systems

I acknowledge funding support from the VERSES Research Lab